

JOINT COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY
LEGISLATIVE OFFICE BUILDING, ROOM 2500
HARTFORD, CT 06106

January 20, 2026

RE: conditions in Osborn / policy changes

Dear Members of the Judiciary Committee,

Thank you for this opportunity to give my input on a topic that is the forefront of this Committee. A topic that not only, in my opinion, violates both civil and constitution rights of individuals, in the long run has a detrimental impact on society. When I was informed that you're looking for testimony from individuals whom have been impacted, I was more than willing to help.

Prior to my incarceration, I was a prominent political figure, a developer, owner of two laundromats and several investment properties in the Fair Haven section of New Haven. In 2010, I was indicted, tried and acquitted on federal charges for not co-operating with rogue officials wanting to move up the ladder.

Immediately after this acquittal, as payback, I was tried and found guilty by the same officials on a state level of two counts of arson in the second degree, in violation of C.G.S. Section 53a-112(a)(2), two counts of conspiracy to commit criminal mischief in the first degree, in violation of C.G.S. Sections 53a-115(a)(1) and 53a- 48(a), and one count of conspiracy to commit burglary in the first degree, in violation of C.G.S. Sections 53a-101(a)(1) and 53a-48(a). On January 8,2015, the trial court, Blue, J., sentenced the defendant to a total effective sentence twenty-five years, suspended after fifteen-years to serve, followed by ten years of probation.

In 2017, I self-surrendered to begin the total effective sentence, beginning at New Haven County, then Walker-McDougall, then Cheshire, then Osborn and now Cybulski. Im only going to address the issue with Osborn because I never really had any major problems with any other facility. I also recognize that prisons aren't day camps so I won't waste this Honorable Committees time on nonsense.

Attached is a copy of my complaint, (exhibit A), docket # HHD-CV25-5091799-S, ANGELO REYES vs ANGEL QUIROS, ROBERT MARTIN, filed August 25,2025. I hope this complaint will not be taken lightly and is helpful, there is no excuse for the condition or way that prison is run.


Finally, the issues of policy/statute seems to put me in a grey area, in short, the C. G. S. in my case depicts this crime as a violent crime, this is what DOC uses as their severity/violence guidelines, but as you see in the October 9,2014 transcripts, (exhibit B), the states attorney's office confirms that these are not violent crimes. This issue brings into play whether or not an individual can be eligible for a 50% reduction vs the standard 85% that is mandated by DOC guidelines when in fact this was not the intent of the court. I've been locked up with zero incidents, have excellent work reports and facilitate many programs while patiently waiting to overturn my case. Had policy on this grey area been addressed in the past, in my opinion, not only would the cost of this individual not have been in the millions, DOC would not have their hands tied on this grey area. I hope this information becomes a catalyst for change. Thank You for your time.

Respectfully Submitted,



Angelo Reyes #115681
Cybulski C/I
264 Bilton Road
Somers, Ct 06071

Exhibit



DOCKET NO.

ANGELO REYES

: SUPERIOR COURT

Plaintiff,

: JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF HARTFORD

V.

: AT HARTFORD

ANGEL QUIROS, ROBERT MARTIN,

: AUGUST 25,2025

AND ELINA MOROZOV.

Defendants.

RETURN DATE:OCTOBER 14,2025

CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT

INTRODUCTION

1. This is a Civil Rights Complaint authorized by 42 U.S.C. Section 1983 to redress the deprivation under color of state law, of rights secured by the Constitution of the United States. The Plaintiff Angelo Reyes, alleges denial of medical care and deliberate indifference, a violation of the Eighth Amendment of the United States Constitution. The Plaintiff seeks Declaratory and Injunctive relief.

PARTIES

2. The Plaintiff, Angelo Reyes, a prisoner of the State Of Connecticut. And is Currently incarcerated at Cybulski Community Reintegration Center, 264 Bilton Rd. Somers, CT 06071

At the time that this Complaint arose the Plaintiff was being housed at Osborn Correctional Institution. At all times mention herein the Plaintiff was and is a Prisoner of the State Of Connecticut.

3. The Defendant Angel Quiros, whose address is 24 Wolcott Hill Rd. Wethersfield, CT 06109 And whose employed by the State Of Connecticut Department of Correction, As the Commissioner Of Correction, And whose being sued in His Official and Individual Capacities, at all times mentioned herein the Defendant acted under color of state law.

4. The Defendant Robert Martin, whose address is 335 Bilton Rd. Somers, CT 06071And whose employed by the State Of Connecticut Department Of Correction as a Correctional Warden, whose assigned to Osborn Correctional Institution, And is being sued in His Official and Individual Capacities, at all times mentioned herein, the Defendant acted under color of the state law.

5. The Defendant Elina Morozov, whose address is 335 Bilton Rd. Somers, CT 06071 and whose employed by the State Of Connecticut Department Of Correction as a APRN , and is assigned to the Osborn Correctional Institution, and is being sued in Her Official and Individual Capacities, at all times mentioned herein, the Defendant acted under color of state law.

Statement Of Facts

6. On or about February 2,2024 The Plaintiff transferred to Osborn Correctional Institution. Construction work was being done on the facility. The building was very dusty and dirty, no mask were provided.

7. Plaintiff began to complain to Medical that he has severe stomach pain and extreme diarrhea, Medical did not respond to the Plaintiff's Inmate Request, so Plaintiff filed a Inmate Grievance on or about March 3, 2024. It should be noted medical did not respond to the Plaintiff's Level 2 Grievance dated April 3,2024.

8. The Plaintiff requested a mask from his assigned Unit Officer on or about March 8,2024 due to the dust from the construction work that was being done on the facility, it should be noted that all kind of carcinogens are present throughout the building, Plaintiff's assigned Housing Unit Officer stated to the Plaintiff " suffer if I can't wear a mask, you can't either". Plaintiff secured into his assigned cell.

9. On or about March 15,2024 Plaintiff spoke with Defendant Warden Robert Martin during the Defendant's tour through Plaintiff's assigned Housing Unit, Plaintiff requested that he be given a mask to protect himself from all of the dust from the construction being done on the facility. The Defendant responded that he does not have any mask to pass out, and for the Plaintiff to cover his mouth and nose with his bath towel.

10. On or about March 20,2024 Plaintiff was having severe pains in his stomach, and uncontrollable diarrhea, Plaintiff asked his assigned Housing Unit Office to please call Medical to request that he is seen. Plaintiff was not seen, and Medical ignored the Plaintiff's request to be seen.

11. On or about April 30,2024 Plaintiff wrote a Inmate Request to medical asking to be seen because of problems breathing, he was coughing up mucus with dust in it. Medical did not respond to the Plaintiff's Inmate Request, the dust continued to fill the air inside of H-Housing Unit, from all of the construction work being done on the facility, Plaintiff was not given a mask for protection from all of the carcinogens known to be present at Osborn Correctional Institution, it should be noted that the Defendants clearly know that asbestos is present through-out the facility, and failed to take necessary steps to protect the Plaintiff from asbestos, or any other dangerous element that is air born at Osborn Correctional Institution.

12. On or about May 3,2024 while the Plaintiff was housed in H-Unit at Osborn Correctional Institution, construction work was being done, dust was being blown into the Plaintiff's assigned cell, he began to inhale the dust, causing him to cough. Plaintiff complained to the assigned Correctional Officer, that the dust was making him cough, and asked for a mask.

13. Plaintiff was denied a mask, and he was forced to breath contaminated dust. It should be noted that Plaintiff was coughing so hard that he was spitting up blood. He requested to be seen by medical, He was denied by his primary care defendant A.P.R.N. Morozov, she stated that she would see next week at his next scheduled appointment. The Plaintiff was not seen, and now suffers shortness of breath, and a deep persistent cough.

14. On or about June 1,2024 the Plaintiff was having severe pains in his stomach, and extreme diarrhea, he wrote a Inmate Request to medical requesting to be seen. And after the third day of extreme diarrhea, Plaintiff asked his assigned Housing Unit Officer to call medical and ask if the Plaintiff could be seen. Plaintiff was not seen by medical, he laid in his bed with severe pains in his stomach.

It should be noted that the Plaintiff was losing significant weight due to the extreme diarrhea. Plaintiff was seen by Defendant A.P.R.N. Morozov on or about July 21,2024. Defendant Morozov ordered labs, Plaintiff labs were normal, however he was still having extreme diarrhea.

15. On or about July 25,2024 Defendant Martin stated to the Inmate Population at Osborn Correctional Institution that the water has been tested and was safe to drink. On August 1,2024 labs were taken from the Plaintiff and on August 5,2024 Plaintiff was positive for Helicobacter Pylori, caused by contaminated water, and Plaintiff tested positive for having Crohn's disease, it should be noted that Plaintiff was not told by defendant Morozov that he suffers Crohn's disease.

16. Plaintiff wrote a Inmate Request to Defendant Martin on or about August 8, 2024 asking to be transferred to another Correctional Institution, the dust from the construction was to making him sick, and that he was told by his Primary Care that the water caused him to have Helicobacter Pylori, it should be noted that defendant Martin did not respond to the Plaintiff's Inmate Request.

17. The Plaintiff wrote a Grievance regarding the living conditions at Osborn Correctional Institution on or about August 15,2024 no response was given, and he filed a level 2 Grievance on or about September 14,2024, the Defendants did not respond to Plaintiff's level 2 grievance, and on or about October 15,2024 the Plaintiff filed a level 3 grievance no response was made by the defendants.

18. On or about November 20,2024 the Plaintiff's noticed a large boil on his upper left inner thigh, he wrote a Inmate Request asking to be seen by medical. The next day he was seen by Defendant A.P.R.N. Morozov, the Defendant looked at the Plaintiff's thigh and stated that the Plaintiff has a staph infection, the defendant Ordered a Nurse to bring a number 2 blade and she cut the boil open and took out the infection. It should be noted that this defendant is not a medical doctor, she is only a A.P.R.N.

19. The Defendant Martin issued a Memorandum to all Correctional personnel working at Osborn not to drink the drinking water, facility water is not to be given to the dogs who are from the Vet Dog program, the dogs are to be given bottled spring water. The Defendants have known about the contaminated water at Osborn for years, and have failed to correct this issue because of the cost to remedy and possible closure of Osborn.

20. The Plaintiff wrote a letter on or about May 7,2025 to Defendant Martin, asking for an immediate transfer out of Osborn, because of the dangerous and inhumane living conditions that he is being subjected to at Osborn Correctional, Plaintiff explained that the dust from the construction is making it hard for him to breath, and that he was not being provided a mask. And that he suffered from helicobacter Pylori from the drinking water. Plaintiff made it very clear in his Request that if he was not immediately transferred out, he would seek legal assistance through the courts to be transferred.

21. On or about May 15,2025 Plaintiff was transferred out of Osborn Correctional Institution. It should be noted that Plaintiff still suffers a deep cough, and spits up dark mucus. Plaintiff does not know the long term effect from being exposed to carcinogens such as asbestos which is known to be present at Osborn Correctional Institution. The defendants are deliberate indifferent to the Plaintiff serious medical needs, in violation of the Eighth Amendment of the United States Constitution.

22. Defendant Martin has known about the inhumane living conditions at Osborn Correctional Institution for years and has failed to correct the conditions complained herein, his actions are wanton, and extremely reckless disregard for the Plaintiff's safety. This defendant violated the Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment rights under the Constitution of the United States.

23. Defendant Morozov has never told the Plaintiff that he has Crohn's disease, Plaintiff discovered such when he was transferred out of Osborn, to date the Plaintiff still suffers pains in his stomach and has a hard time passing a bowl movement, This defendant is deliberate indifferent to the Plaintiff's serious medical needs, and has violated the Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment rights under the United States Constitution.

24. The Plaintiff does not know the long term effects from being exposed to asbestos, it is well known that asbestos causes cancer and other health issues, Defendants Quiros and Martin failed to take all necessary precautions to protect the Plaintiff from the hazardous exposure from asbestos and unsafe drinking water. The conditions that the plaintiff is complaining has been decades of neglect, Defendant's simply do not care for the lives and safety of the inmate population, however all Correctional Personnel assigned to Osborn Correctional Institution have been provided mask and receives bottled water everyday and the inmate population is forced to drink contaminated water, which caused the plaintiff to become ill with Helicobacter Pylori.

25. The Defendants subjected the Plaintiff to these inhumane conditions stated in this complaint, Defendants actions are extremely sadistic, and constituted as cruel and unusual punishment, a violation of the Eighth Amendment of the United States Constitution.

Exhaustion

26. The Plaintiff has filed Levels 1,2,& 3 Grievances, the Defendants failed to respond to all. Pursuant to the Prison Litigation Reform Act, Plaintiff has exhausted all of the Administrative Remedies that are available to him at Osborn Correctional Institution.

Legal Claims

27. The Defendants have known about the hazardous living conditions in which the Plaintiff complains herein, and failed to protect the Plaintiff from exposure to asbestos. Defendants are deliberate indifferent, and has violated the Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment rights under the United States Constitution.

28. Defendant Quiros failed to take all necessary precautions to protect the Plaintiff from exposure of the dangerous and hazardous, unsafe drinking water that caused the Plaintiff to become ill, his actions were extremely reckless and wanton. Defendant violated the Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment rights under the United States Constitution.

29. The Plaintiff has no plan, or complete remedy at law to redress the wrongs that are described herein, unless this Honorable Court grants the declaratory and injunctive relief which the Plaintiff seeks.

Relief Requested

30. The Plaintiff respectfully requests the following relief:

31. A Jury trial on all triable issues.

32. Compensatory damages in the Amount of \$250,000 from each named Defendant in His/Her Official Capacity, and \$250,000 from each named Defendant in His/Her Individual Capacity.

33. Punitive Damages in the Amount of \$250,000 from each named Defendant.

34. All legal fees and cost in this suit.

35. Any other relief that this Honorable Court deems proper and just.

THE PLAINTIFF

BY: 

ANGELO REYES

264 BILTON RD.

SOMERS, CT 06071

TO ANY PROPER OFFICER:

HEREOF AND FAIL NOT THIS SUMMONS,

WRIT, AND COMPLAINT, MAKE DUE SERVICE,

AND RETURN ACCORDING TO LAW,

Exhibit

B



*State of Connecticut
Department of Correction
Classification Review Sheet*

Name: REYES,ANGELO Number: 00115681

Date of Review: 6/17/2025 Facility: WILLARD-CYBULSKI CI Housing: I03H

Hearing Type

Initial Review **Community Release** **Parole Hearing** **Disciplinary Review**
 Regular Review **Level Reduction** **New Information** **Institutional Classification Action**

Risk Scores

Escape	1	Sev/Viol Offense	4	Violence History	1
Length of Confinement	4	Detainers	1		
Discipline	1	Security Risk Group	1	Overall	2

Need Scores/ Subcodes

Medical	2	Alcohol/Drug	2	Community	2
Mental Health	1	Vocational	2	Education	2

Institution Assignment

Program Assignment _____ Date _____ Job Assignment _____ Date _____
School Assignment _____ Date _____ Outside Clearance _____ Date _____
Other _____ Date _____

Eligibility Dates

Next Classification Review: 10/28/2025 Release Date: 6/1/2031 Level Reduction: _____
Transitional Supervision: 12/2/2023 Community Release: 12/1/2029 Parole Eligibility: _____
Home Confinement: _____ Other: _____

Comments:

The assigned sex treatment needs score is not listed on this form. If you'd like written documentation of this score, you will need to submit an inmate request form to your Unit Counselor.

This signature indicates that I acknowledge that changes have been made to my classification scores and does not imply consent. I also understand that I may appeal in writing to the unit administrator or designee within 15 days of the decision.

Inmate Signature

Date

- Inmate Refused to Sign
- Inmate Declines Copy
- Inmate Waived

Staff Witness and Title _____ Date _____

Date

cc: Inmate & Master File

OCPM – REV. 1/2012

NO: NNH CR12 0126303T	: SUPERIOR COURT
STATE OF CONNECTICUT	: JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF NEW HAVEN
v.	: AT NEW HAVEN, CONNECTICUT
ANGELO REYES	: OCTOBER 9, 2014

(P.M. SESSION)

BEFORE THE HONORABLE JON C. BLUE, JUDGE

A P P E A R A N C E S :

Representing the State of Connecticut:

ATTORNEY JOHN DOYLE
ATTORNEY SETH GARBARSKY
OFFICE OF THE STATE'S ATTORNEY
235 CHURCH STREET
NEW HAVEN, CT 06510

Representing the Defendant:

ATTORNEY JOHN R. WILLIAMS
JOHN R. WILLIAMS AND ASSOCIATES
51 ELM STREET, SUITE 409
NEW HAVEN, CT 06510

Recorded By:
Kathryn Darling

Transcribed By:
Kathryn Darling
Court Recording Monitor
235 Church Street
New Haven, CT 06510

1 ATTY. WILLIAMS: That was Mr. Antollino.

2 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Clerk, does August of
3 2013 sound like a reasonable guess?

4 MR. DZIEKAN: Actually, Your Honor, our file
5 reflects a date of a hearing before Judge Fasano on
6 April 10 of 2012.

7 ATTY. DOYLE: Okay. I think - I apologize. I
8 think -

9 ATTY. WILLIAMS: That would make more sense.
10 That would make more sense I think, Your Honor,
11 because -

12 ATTY. DOYLE: Yes.

13 THE COURT: All right. Well, if I could just
14 ask, I don't have any particular knowledge about the
15 real estate at all, but as we all know, just speaking
16 very generally, in the last two and a half years, the
17 real estate market has gone up so the value of these
18 particular properties is unlikely to have decreased,
19 I would guess.

20 ATTY. WILLIAMS: Right.

21 ATTY. DOYLE: I have no disagreement with the
22 Court on that.

23 THE COURT: All right. So what do you think I
24 ought to do and why?

25 ATTY. DOYLE: I am asking the Court to set on
26 each - on a total of these two files where the
27 defendant now stands convicted, a bond to be set in

1 the amount of one million dollars cash only, except
2 caught between the two files, however the Court wants
3 to structure that.

4 My reasons for that are the following, Your
5 Honor. The Court is aware probably from the - some
6 of the arguments in this case and what's been
7 presented, but also I represent to the Court that I
8 do not know the full extent of the defendant's
9 assets, but I do - it is my understanding that he
10 does own or have some ownership in a variety of
11 properties in the City of New Haven.

12 At the same time, I do not know to the extent of
13 how much his assets are, but I do think that as the
14 Court had noted here, these are very serious -
15 they're serious charges. They're not violent crimes,
16 but they could've been and there's a risk in the
17 potential for what occurred.

18 THE COURT: Sure, of course.

19 ATTY. DOYLE: But I do think the exposure is
20 serious. There is a mandatory minimum. I want to
21 address the Court's record that in addition, I think
22 putting all - everything that's out here is that in
23 addition to the matter that is set for another arson
24 trial which is related but is awaiting trial, there
25 are three matters that are on the jury in G.A. 23.
26 Two of them involve larceny offenses. The third
27 involves an offense of tampering with a witness, and